

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/18/2000	Seiichi Kobayashi	FUJI 16.959	1025
10/06/2004		EXAM	INER
K M Z Rosenman		VOLPER, THOMAS E	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10020		2665	
	01/18/2000	01/18/2000 Seiichi Kobayashi 10/06/2004 In	01/18/2000 Seiichi Kobayashi FUJI 16.959 10/06/2004 EXAM VOLPER, T nue 10020

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/484,722	KOBAYASHI, SEIICHI		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thomas Volper	2665		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment		
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>Claims 2-7 and 9 would be rejected</u>	<u>d under the same</u> grounds of rejec	tion as in the previous Office action.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6/2/04.				
10. Other:		Judle		
		HUY D. VU		
	SUPERVIS TECHN	ORY PATENT EXAMINER OLOGY CENTER 2600		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/484,722

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 9 simply adds the limitations of claim 8, which had been previously rejected and is now canceled. The Biegel and Song references clearly meet the limitations of previous claim 8 set forth in the previous Office action, namely a transaction language, a CMISE and management of an STM transmission.